



*Advising the Congress on Medicare issues*

# Assessing payment adequacy and updating payments: Skilled nursing facility services

Carol Carter

December 18, 2014

# Outline of presentation

---

- Overview of the SNF industry
- Analysis of payment adequacy
- Medicaid trends

# Skilled nursing facilities: providers, users, and Medicare spending

---

- Providers: 15,000
- Beneficiary users: 1.7 million
- Medicare spending: \$29 billion
- Medicare share: 12% of days  
22% of revenues

# Payment adequacy framework

---

- Access
  - Supply of providers
  - Volume of services
- Quality
- Access to capital
- Payments and costs

# Access: supply adequate and stable in 2013

---

Indicator	Change from 2012
■ Supply	■ Unchanged (15,000)
■ Share of beneficiaries living in a county with multiple SNFs	■ Unchanged (3/4 live in a county with 5+ SNFs)
■ Occupancy rate	■ Small decrease (from 87% to 86% in 2013) ■ One quarter of SNFs have rates less than 72%

## Decline in SNF use in 2013 consistent with reductions in inpatient hospital use

---

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>Change from 2012</u>
▪ Admissions	Decreased 2.2%
▪ Days	Decreased 1.4%
▪ Length of stay	Small increase 2.2%

*Data are preliminary and subject to change.*

# Service use reflects shortcomings of the PPS design

---

<u>% of days</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2013</u>
Any therapy	78%	92%	93%
Intensive therapy	29	74	79
Medically complex	15	7	6

- Amount of therapy drives therapy payments
- Therapy payments exceed therapy costs
- Payments for nontherapy ancillary services are not based on these services' costs or patient characteristics

*Data are preliminary and subject to change.*

## Small improvement in rates of community discharge and potentially avoidable rehospitalizations

---

<u>Risk-adjusted measure</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>
Discharged to community	35.6%	37.5%
Potentially avoidable rehospitalizations:		
During SNF stay	11.5	11.1
Within 30 days after discharge from SNF	5.6	5.5
Combined	15.5	15.1

*Source: Analysis of MDS data conducted by Kramer et al., 2015.  
Data are preliminary and subject to change.*



# Essentially no change in functional status between 2012-2013

---

<u>Risk-adjusted rate</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>
Percent of stays with improvement across 3 mobility measures	43.6%	43.7%
Percent of stays with no declines in mobility	87.2	87.2

*Source: Analysis of MDS data conducted by Kramer et al. 2015.  
Data are preliminary and subject to change.*

# Wide variation in risk-adjusted quality measures indicate opportunities to improve

---

<u>Risk-adjusted rate</u>	<u>25th</u>	<u>75th</u>
Discharged to the community	29.2%	46.6%
Rehospitalized during SNF stay	8.0	13.9
Rehospitalized within 30 days of discharge from SNF	3.4	7.2
Improved mobility	35.6	52.5

*Source: Analysis of MDS data conducted by Kramer et al. 2015.  
Data are preliminary and subject to change.*

# Access to capital is adequate

---

- Access to capital is adequate and expected to continue
- Some lenders are reluctant due to uncertainties about lower volume and future Medicare policies
- Reluctance is not a reflection of the adequacy of Medicare's payments: Medicare continues to be a payer of choice

# Freestanding SNF Medicare margins

---

- 2013 margin: 13.1 percent
- 14<sup>th</sup> year of margins above 10 percent
- Margins vary 6-fold
  - 25<sup>th</sup> percentile: 3.7%
  - 75<sup>th</sup> percentile: 21.7%
- High-margin facilities have lower standardized costs per day and higher payments per day

*Data are preliminary and subject to change.*

# Relatively efficient SNFs in 2013: relatively low cost and high quality

---

- 524 were relatively efficient (7% of SNFs in the analysis)
- Compared to the average, efficient SNFs had:
  - Costs: 7% lower
  - Community discharge rates: 20% higher
  - Rehospitalization rates: 18% lower
- Medicare margin: 20.6%

*Data are preliminary and subject to change.*

# Previous Commission recommendation has two parts

---

- Year 1: the prospective payment system for SNFs should be revised. No update.
- Year 2: payments should be lowered by an initial 4 percent. Subsequent reductions over an appropriate transition until payments are in better alignment with provider costs.

# Why revise the SNF PPS?

---

- Uneven financial performance partly reflects shortcomings and biases of PPS
- Payments for therapy and NTA services have gotten more inaccurate since 2006
  - Overpayments for therapy services are larger
  - Payments for NTA services are unrelated to their costs
- Longstanding recommendation to revise PPS

# A budget-neutral revised PPS would shift payments across providers

<u>SNF group</u>	<u>Percent change in payments</u>
High share of all days that are:	
Intensive therapy	-7%
Clinically complex & special care	5 to 7
Hospital-based	21
For-profit	-1
Nonprofit	4
Rural	4



# Why rebase Medicare payments?

---

- Medicare margins above 10 percent since 2000
- Industry responses to policy changes
- Variation in Medicare margins is related to amount of therapy furnished and cost differences
- FFS payments are considerably higher than some MA plan payments

# Medicaid trends in nursing home use and spending

---

Number of facilities (2014)	Almost 15,000
Users (2011)	1.6 million
Spending (estimate 2014)	\$52 billion
Non-Medicare margin (2013)	-1.9%
Total margin (2013)	1.9%

*Data are preliminary and subject to change.*

# Subsidizing Medicaid through Medicare payments is poor policy

---

- Poor targeting of funds
- Could encourage states to lower their payments
- Diverts Medicare Trust Fund dollars to subsidize Medicaid and private payments