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Medicare’s use of wage indexes

- Medicare’s prospective payment systems (PPSs) use wage indexes to adjust Medicare base payment rates for geographic differences in labor costs.

- For most provider types (e.g., post-acute care facilities), CMS uses one wage index based on acute care hospitals’ cost reports.

- For acute care hospitals, CMS applies many modifications to the initial wage index.
To calculate the initial wage index that is used in most Medicare PPSs, CMS:

1. Calculates the aggregate average hourly wage (AHW) for acute care hospitals in each area:

   \[ AHW \text{ for hospitals in area} = \frac{\sum \text{Area wages}}{\sum \text{Area hours}} \]

2. Divides that area AHW by the national average:

   \[ \text{Wage index for area} = \frac{\text{Area AHW}}{\text{National AHW}} \]
Current method: Initial wage index, FY 2022

- Based on wages and hours from about 3,200 acute care hospital reports that began in 2018
- Aggregated to 459 labor areas (411 MSAs and 47 rural areas)
- Most areas have wage index values slightly below 1, but a minority had much higher or lower values

Note: MSA (Metropolitan Statistical Area). CMS also uses metropolitan divisions. Not all states have a rural labor market area.
Source: MedPAC analysis of FY 2022 IPPS wage index files.
Current method: Acute care hospital wage index includes up to four types of exceptions

- Occupational-mix adjusted hospital wage index
  
  (average hourly wage of all hospitals located in area, relative to national; calculated using estimated wages if hospitals had employed national nursing mix)

- Reclassifications
  
  (recalculated area wage index generally using all hospitals that are either located in or reclassified into each area)

- Floors
  
  (for certain areas, set floors on the relative or absolute wage index)

- Post-reclassification, post-floor area wage index

- Out-migration
  
  (increase in counties with high share of hospital employees who commute to higher wage area)

- Low-wage
  
  (increase for hospitals in bottom quartile of wage index)

- Final IPPS wage index
  
  (minimum of 95% of prior year)
Concerns with current wage index systems:

(1) Fails to isolate differences in labor costs

- The current wage index values reflect not only geographic differences in labor costs, but also:
  a. Hospitals’ market power
  b. Hospitals’ employment decisions
  c. Non-empirical wage index exceptions for acute care hospitals
Concerns with current wage index systems:
(1.a) Circularity and market power

- **Concern**: Using data from small number of hospitals can cause wage index to reflect hospitals’ market power, e.g.,
  - Slower wage growth
  - Pressure to keep wages low
  - Lower wage index
  - Lower payments

- **CMS response**: Added a temporary low-wage index policy in 2020, which increases wage index value of hospitals in bottom quartile
  - However, policy is temporary, has no empirical support for magnitude of increase, and only addresses low-end circularity
Concerns with current wage index systems: (1.b) Limited accounting of occupational mix

- **Concern**: Using aggregate average hourly wage across all occupations can cause wage index to reflect employment decisions, as
  - Providers can employ different mixes of occupations
  - Relative wages can vary across occupations

- **Congressional response**: Occupational-mix adjustment in IPPS wage index
  - However, CMS implements based on survey of only four nursing occupations, and only applies to IPPS
Concerns with current wage index systems:

(1.c) Non-empirical exceptions decrease accuracy

**Concern:** Acute care hospital wage index exceptions with no empirical basis erodes integrity of IPPS by creating large differences between wage index value and the area’s relative labor costs.

- Also contributes to variation in payments across settings.

**Policy response:** Over time, some attempts have been made to remove some exceptions.

- However, these have been unsuccessful, and more exceptions have been added.
Concerns with current wage index systems:
(2) Exceptions allow manipulation and add burden

**Concern**: Wage index exceptions:
- Create opportunities for wage index manipulation, of which hospitals have been increasingly taking advantage
- Add administrative burden to Medicare

**CMS response**: Has tried to create policies to limit manipulation, but with limited success and added administrative burden
Concerns with current wage index systems:
(2) Exceptions allow manipulation: Examples

- Rural reclassification to raise rural floor
  - Certain high-wage hospitals are reclassifying to rural areas, thereby raising the rural floor and increasing wages index values for urban hospitals in that state at the expense of all other states

- Timing of reclassification cancellation and reapplication
  - Certain low-wage hospitals are cancelling rural reclassification and then reapplying only once it is too late for CMS to include their data

- Dual reclassifications to gain non-wage-index benefits
  - Certain large urban hospitals are first reclassifying to rural areas and then reclassifying again, in the process gaining non-wage-index benefits
Concerns with current wage index systems:
(2) Exceptions can result in substantial benefits

- In FY 2022, about 68 percent of acute care hospitals benefitted from at least one wage index exception.
- These exceptions can result in substantial increases in payments for these hospitals, paid for by:
  - A relatively small decrease in payments to all hospitals (budget-neutral exceptions), or
  - Increase in Medicare program spending and beneficiary cost-sharing (for non-budget-neutral exceptions).

→ Hospitals that benefit have strong incentives to fight for their exceptions, others have smaller incentives to remove exceptions.
Concerns with current wage index systems:

(3) Masked variation and wage index cliffs

- **Concern**: Use of MSAs and rural balance-of state without county-level smoothing can result in:
  - **Masked variation**: One wage index value despite different relative wages
  - **Cliffs**: Adjacent area with much higher wage index value

- **Congressional response**: Reclassifications and other exceptions
  - These can create domino effects and result in even greater masked variation and wage index cliffs
Concerns with current wage index systems:
(4) Use of initial wage index for other PPSs

- **Concerns**: Current initial wage index may not accurately reflect relative labor costs faced by other providers because:
  - Relative wages of acute care hospitals may not accurately reflect relative wages of other health care providers
  - Mix of occupations employed by acute care hospitals may not reflect mix employed by other providers
Alternative wage index: Goal and principles

- **Wage index goal**: accurately measure the labor costs of doing business that differ solely because of geography

- To meet goal, wage index method would ideally:
  1. Use cross-industry, occupation-level wage data, weighted by sector-specific occupational weights
  2. Account for county-level variation in relative wages and smooth wage indexes across adjacent counties
  3. Have no exceptions
Illustrative alternative wage index for acute care hospitals: Method

- Calculate initial area wage index using (i) occupation-level, cross-industry average hourly wages (AHW) and (ii) national occupation weights for acute care hospitals

\[ Wage \text{ index for area} = \sum \frac{AHW \text{ for occupation in area}}{AHW \text{ for occupation nationally}} \times \text{occ weight} \]

- Adjust area wage index for benefits’ share of total compensation in that region
- Apply a county-level intra-area adjustment, up to +/-5%
- Smooth wage index across adjacent counties, such that maximum difference is 10%
Illustrative alternative wage index for acute care hospitals: Benefits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wage index feature</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>Alternative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isolates county-level differences in labor costs while limiting wage index cliffs</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimizes opportunities for manipulation and reduces administrative burden on Medicare</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⇒ More accurately reflects geographic differences in labor costs at lower administrative cost than the current system
Illustrative alternative wage index for acute care hospitals: Redistribution of IPPS payments

- IPPS payments would increase for acute care hospitals:
  - With no current wage index exceptions
  - With a relatively low current wage index
  - In areas where acute care hospitals pay less than the usual premium above other employers’ wages for similar employees
  - In counties with higher wages relative to its parent area or adjacent to a county with a much higher wage index

- IPPS payments would change by more than +/- 5 percent for small minority of acute care hospitals once fully phased-in

Note: IPPS (inpatient prospective payment systems).

Results are preliminary and subject to change.
Illustrative alternative wage index for other PPSs: Method, benefits, and effects

- **Method:** Same method as for acute care hospitals, but using occupations and weights specific to that sector (e.g. IPF, IRF, SNF, HH)

- **Benefits:** Separate wage indexes more accurately reflect relative labor costs faced by providers in each sector

- **Effects:** PPS payments would shift towards certain providers, generally similar to results for acute care hospital
  - However, effects on individual providers would often be larger because these sectors have a higher labor share

Note: IPF (inpatient psychiatric facility); inpatient rehabilitation facility (IRF); SNF (skilled nursing facility); HH (home health); PPS (prospective payment system). Results are preliminary and subject to change.
Discussion

- Questions on current wage index systems?
- Agreement with concerns with current systems? Other concerns?
- Agreement with proposed alternative wage index goals and principles?
- Questions on alternative method or effects?

What additional information would Commissioners want to see in the Spring if interested in a recommendation to improve Medicare’s wage index systems?