

Assessing payment adequacy and updating payments: Ambulatory surgical center services Outpatient dialysis services Hospice services

January 13, 2022





#### Assessing payment adequacy and updating payments: Ambulatory surgical center services

Dan Zabinski January 13, 2022



## Overview of ambulatory surgical centers (ASCs) in 2020

Medicare FFS payments: \$4.9 billion	Beneficiaries: 3.0 million served
Number of ASCs:	Current law update:
~ 5,900	2.0% in 2022



Data preliminary and subject to change.

## PHE affected ASC payment adequacy measures; they remained generally positive

Beneficiaries'	ASCs' access	Quality of care	Medicare payments,
access to care	to capital		ASCs' costs
<ul> <li>Number of ASCs rose 2.0%</li> <li>Volume per beneficiary declined 13.6%</li> <li>Volume rebounded strongly after large drop in Spring 2020</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Number of ASCs increased</li> <li>Corporate entities continued to acquire ASCs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Quality measures unchanged</li> <li>CMS should add claims- based outcome measures</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Aggregate payments dropped slightly after years of increases</li> <li>Payments per user rose 10.2%</li> <li>ASCs do not submit cost data; should be able to do so</li> </ul>





#### Assessing payment adequacy and updating payments: Outpatient dialysis services

Nancy Ray and Andy Johnson January 13, 2022



### Overview of outpatient dialysis services, 2020

- Outpatient dialysis services used to treat individuals with end-stage renal disease (ESRD)
- FFS beneficiaries: About 384,000
- Providers: About 7,800 dialysis facilities
- Medicare FFS dialysis spending: \$12.3 billion

Source: MedPAC analysis of 100 percent claims submitted to dialysis facilities to CMS and CMS's Dialysis Compare files. Data are preliminary and subject to change.



## Summary: Outpatient dialysis payment adequacy indicators generally positive

Beneficiaries' access to care	Quality of care	Access to capital	Medicare payments and providers' costs
<ul> <li>Growth in provider supply and capacity</li> <li>Decline in dialysis beneficiaries and treatments related to the PHE</li> <li>Positive Medicare marginal profit (20%)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Increase in home dialysis use</li> <li>Decline in all-cause admissions and ED use and increase in mortality related to PHE</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Continued entry of for-profits</li> <li>Sector viewed favorably by investors</li> <li>All-payer total margin: 16%</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2020 aggregate Medicare margin: 2.7%</li> <li>2022 projected aggregate Medicare margin: 1.8%</li> </ul>

PHE (Public Health Emergency). 2020 all payer margin and aggregate Medicare margin do not reflect PHE funds. Data are preliminary and subject to change.





#### Assessing payment adequacy and updating payments: Hospice services

Kim Neuman January 13, 2022



### Frontier hospice use

- Although hospice use in frontier areas and rural areas is less than urban areas, it has grown at a similar or higher rate than urban areas since 2010
- Frontier beneficiary hospice use in 2020:
  - 23,200 frontier beneficiaries died
  - 7,700 frontier decedents used hospice (33.3 percent)
  - An additional 3,600 frontier decedents would have used hospice if use rate was same as urban decedents (48.8 percent)
- Many factors influence hospice use (e.g., patient preferences, disease type/progression, provider preferences and referrals)
  - Unclear how much these factors versus access factors account for lower frontier hospice use
  - We will continue to monitor hospice use in frontier and rural areas

Note: Data are preliminary and subject to change. Sources: MedPAC analysis of hospice claims and Medicare beneficiary database.

### Overview of Medicare hospice, 2020

- Hospice use:
  - Over 1.7 million beneficiaries
  - Nearly half of decedents
- Providers: Over 5,000
- Medicare payments: \$22.4 billion



## Summary: Hospice payment adequacy indicators generally positive

Beneficiaries'	Quality	Hospices'	Medicare payments and hospices' costs
access to care	of care	access to capital	
<ul> <li>Increase in provider supply</li> <li>Increase in number of users, ALOS</li> <li>Decrease in in- person visits related to PHE</li> <li>2019 Medicare marginal profit (17%)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2020 quality difficult to assess</li> <li>2019 stable or improving</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Continued entry of for-profits</li> <li>Sector viewed favorably by investors</li> <li>Provider-based have access via parent provider</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2019 aggregate Medicare margin: 13.4%</li> <li>2022 projected aggregate Medicare margin: 13%</li> </ul>

### Hospice aggregate cap

- Cap limits aggregate payments a hospice provider can receive annually (\$31,298 in FY 2022 irrespective of geography)
- Hospices that exceed the cap have long lengths of stay and high margins
  - In 2019, about 19% of hospices exceeded the cap. Their Medicare aggregate margin was 22.5% before and 10% after return of cap overage.
- In lieu of an across-the-board payment reduction, in March 2020 and 2021 the Commission recommended the cap be wage adjusted and reduced 20%
  - Would make cap more equitable across providers and focus payment reductions on providers with high margins and longest stays



# Lack of data on hospice telehealth visits during the public health emergency (PHE)

- CMS has permitted hospice telehealth visits during the PHE under certain circumstances
- Different from in-person visits, hospices are not required to report telehealth visits on Medicare claims (except for social worker calls)
- Lack of data impairs our ability to understand the extent to which telehealth visits were furnished during PHE
- Requiring hospices to report telehealth visits would increase the program's ability to monitor beneficiary access to care during the PHE

