

# Assessing payment adequacy and updating payments: Skilled nursing facility services

Carol Carter

December 10, 2021

# MedPAC's payment adequacy framework: Skilled nursing facilities

## Beneficiaries' access to care

- Capacity and supply of providers
- Volume of services
- Marginal profit

## Quality of care

- Successful discharge to community
- Hospitalization

## Access to capital

- All-payer profitability
- Transaction activity

## Medicare payments and costs

- Payments and costs
- Medicare margins
- Performance of relatively efficient providers
- Projected Medicare margins

Update recommendation for base payment rates

# Payment adequacy framework and the coronavirus public health emergency (PHE)

---

- COVID-19 has had tragic and disproportionate effects on Medicare beneficiaries and the health care workforce
- PHE has also had material effects on payment adequacy indicators, making them more difficult to interpret
- Temporary or highly variable coronavirus effects are best addressed through targeted, short-term funding policies rather than permanent changes to all providers' payment rates in 2023 and future years

# Temporary funding and policy changes for SNFs in 2020

## Provider relief funds

- General distribution: 2% total revenues
- Targeted funds for nursing homes: \$10 billion, not all disbursed in 2020

## Temporary changes in policies

- Suspended the 2% sequester
- Waived the prior 3-day hospital stay requirement
- Extended coverage for certain beneficiaries
- Many states temporarily raised their Medicaid nursing home payment rates

*Data are preliminary and subject to change.*

# New case-mix system was implemented on October 1, 2019

---

- Considers multiple dimensions of patient complexity and deemphasizes rehabilitation therapy
- Intended to be budget neutral but instead raised payments by 5.3%
- In this year's final rule, CMS sought provider input on an approach that would lower payments by 5% and whether to phase in the reduction. Its final decision will be included in the FY 2023 final rule.

*Data are preliminary and subject to change.*

# Overview of the skilled nursing facility industry in 2020

---

## Providers

About 15,000

Most also provide long-term care

## Medicare spending

\$28.1 billion

## Medicare users

1.2 million

3% of FFS beneficiaries

## Medicare share of:

Facility days: 10%

Facility revenue: 17%

# Access to care: Supply stable but PHE decreased use

Supply	Stable at 15,000 88% of beneficiaries live in counties with 3+ SNFs
Service use per beneficiary	Declined from 2019 <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Admissions -7.9%</li><li>Length of stay + 6.9%</li><li>Days -1.5%</li></ul>
Occupancy rate	Large decrease from 2019 (85%) to 74% in September 2021
Marginal profit	25%

# Quality of care: Difficult to assess in 2020

## Changes in quality measures

- Rates of successful discharge to the community decreased
- Rates of hospitalization increased

## Caveats

- 2020 indicators reflect temporary changes unique to the PHE
- Quality measures rely on risk adjustment models that do not include COVID-19 diagnosis information



# Access to capital

## Activity

- Fewer mergers and acquisitions in 2020 than in 2019
- Activity appears to be rebounding in 2021
- HUD financing in 2020 decreased 10% from 2019, but similar number of projects

## Total margins in 2020

- Total margins increased—from 0.6% in 2019 to 3% in 2020

## Capital expected to be adequate in 2022

- Demographics and SNFs' lower cost compared with other institutional PAC settings favor this setting
- Stability of government funding

# Changes in SNF per day costs and payments between 2019 and 2020

## Changes in costs

- Average costs per day increased 2.1%
- Staffing down 9.6% between February and December 2020
- Lower therapy costs as a result of the new case-mix system

## Changes in payments

- Suspension of the sequester
- New case-mix system inadvertently raised payments
- Some shift in payments from other payers to Medicare that accompanied the coverage waivers

# Medicare margins in 2020 for freestanding SNFs

## Aggregate margin

- 16.5% without Provider Relief Funds
- 20<sup>th</sup> consecutive year margin was above 10%
- 19.2% with allocation of Provider Relief Funds

## Variation

- 25<sup>th</sup> percentile: 4.1%
- 75<sup>th</sup> percentile: 28.5%
- Nonprofit: 0.6%
- For profit: 20.0%

## Reasons for variation

- Economies of scale
- Differences in cost per day
- Differences in cost growth

# Medicare margins in 2020 for relatively efficient SNFs indicate payment rates are too high

---

- 9% of SNFs included in analysis were efficient
- Compared to other SNFs, relatively efficient SNFs had:
  - Successful discharge to community: 15% higher
  - Hospitalizations: 21% lower
  - Standardized cost per day: 7% lower
  - Payments per day: 4% higher
- Medicare margin: 22.8%

*Data are preliminary and subject to change.*

# Medicare FFS payments per day for SNF care are considerably higher than MA rates

---

- Average FFS payments per day are 27% higher than the average MA payments per day
- Characteristics of MA and FFS SNF users do not explain payment differences
- Publicly traded companies with SNF holdings report seeking managed care business

*Data are preliminary and subject to change.*

# Summary: COVID-19 affected SNF adequacy indicators, but they remained generally positive

## Beneficiaries' access to care

- Stable supply
- Volume declines do not reflect adequacy of payments.
- High marginal profit (25%)

## Quality of care

- Unique circumstances of PHE confound our measurement and assessment of quality

## Access to capital

- Adequate access to capital
- Total margins increased to 3%

## Medicare payments and SNFs' costs

- Medicare margins are high (without relief funds=16.5%; with relief funds =19.2%)
- Relatively efficient margins= 22.8%