

Assessing payment adequacy: hospital inpatient and outpatient services

Jeff Stensland January 13, 2011



Use of hospital services

- Over 4,800 hospitals (including critical access hospitals) participate in the Medicare program
- Hospital spending per FFS beneficiary increased 6 percent from the prior year
 - Inpatient spending grew 4.2 percent
 - Outpatient spending grew 11.7 percent
- Total inpatient FFS —\$114 billion
- Total outpatient FFS —\$34 billion

Source: MedPAC analysis of Medicare cost reports and claims files.

Review of payment adequacy indicators

Access is strong

- Outpatient volume increased by 4 percent
- Hospital-based office visits grew by 9 percent
- Inpatient volume declined by 1 percent
- Quality metrics were mixed. Either they improved or did not change significantly
- Access to capital is adequate
- Medicare overall margins remain low: -5.2 percent in 2009

Hospitals' overall financial health in 2009 is similar to past years

	2003	2006	2009
Median total (all payer) margins	3%	4%	3%
Share with negative total margins, in most recent three years (e.g. 2000-2002)	16%	11%	17%
EBITDAR / expenses (a cash flow metric)	NA	11%	10%
Share with negative EBITDAR, in two of the most recent three years	NA	5%	5%

EBITDAR: Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization, and rent. The capital expense data is not available for 2003. Source: MedPAC analysis of Medicare cost reports.



Hospitals under financial pressure tend to keep their costs down

	Financial pressure			
	2004 to 2008			
2009 performance	High pressure*	Medium	Low pressure**	
Number of hospitals	756	390	1,747	
Relative standardized cost	92%	96%	104%	
Non-Medicare margin	-3.8%	2.7%	10.7%	
Medicare margin	4.7%	-1.1%	-10.2%	
Total (all-payer) margin	-0.7%	1.7%	5.4%	

* High pressure hospitals have a non-Medicare margin <1% and stagnant or falling net worth.

**Low pressure hospitals have a non-Medicare margin>5% and growing net worth.

Source: MedPAC analysis of Medicare cost reports and claims files from CMS.



Comparing 2009 performance of relatively efficient providers to others

	Top performers during				
2009 measure	2006-2008	Other hospitals			
Number of hospitals	219	1,952			
30-day mortality (CMS measures) (relative to national median)	3 to 7% below	1 to 2% above			
Readmission rates (3M) (relative to national median)	4% below	Average			
Standardized costs (relative to national median)	10% below	2% above			
Non-Medicare margin	3%	6%			
Medicare margin	3%	-6%			
Total (all-payer) margin	3%	3%			
Note: medians for each group are compared to the national median Source: MedPAC analysis of Medicare cost reports and claims files from CMS					
MECPAC Preliminary data subject to change					

Preliminary data subject to change

Documentation and coding adjustments are required to restore budget neutrality

- In 2007-2009, CMS phased-in MS-DRGs and cost-based weights to improve payment accuracy
- MS-DRGs created financial incentives to better document and code secondary diagnoses
- Documentation and coding improvements (DCI) increased payments, without any real change in average patient complexity or the cost of care
- By law, changes in DRGs and weights must be budget neutral

MECIPAC

DCI adjustment principles (from March 2010 recommendation)

- Treat providers and taxpayers fairly by making the transition to MS-DRGs fully budget neutral
 - Adjustments should be made to stop continuing overpayments (a 3.9% adjustment is needed)
 - Adjustments should be made to recover all past overpayments
- Avoid a large financial shock to hospitals that would occur if all the necessary adjustments were made in a single year



Factors in determining the update recommendation

	Update computation
Contemplated update in the absence of DCI	2.5%
Initial DCI adjustment to prevent further overpayments (3.9% - 1.5% leaves 2.4% to be taken in future years)	-1.5
Productivity and budget adjustments	0.0
Recommended net increase in payment rates	1.0



Outpatient Considerations

- Outpatient volume growth of 4 percent
- Office visits at hospital-based clinics grew by 9% compared to 1% at free-standing clinics
- Payment rates are significantly higher at hospitals than free-standing clinics
- A 1 percent update would be consistent with the update for physicians you discussed earlier

