



*Advising the Congress on Medicare issues*

# Hospital short stay policy issues

Kim Neuman, Zach Gaumer, Stephanie Cameron,  
and Craig Lisk

November 6, 2014

# Recap: Hospital short stay issues

---

- Inpatient admission criteria are ambiguous and open to interpretation
- 1-day inpatient stays are common and paid more than similar outpatient stays
- RACs have focused their audits on appropriateness of 1-day inpatient stays
- In response hospitals have increased use of outpatient observation
- Concern raised about observation's effect on SNF coverage and beneficiary liability for self-administered drugs

# Beneficiary characteristics

	Inpatient 1-day stay	All outpatient observation		
		All	<24 hours	24+ hours
Five or more chronic conditions	60%	56%	53%	59%
Anemia	37%	34%	32%	36%
CHF	28%	25%	23%	27%
Median risk score	1.23	1.17	1.12	1.23
Discharge to home health	7%	4%	2%	6%

Note: Data limited to 15 diagnoses most common to outpatient observation stays.

Source: MedPAC analysis of the 2012 inpatient and outpatient hospital claims, the Master Beneficiary Summary file, and the Medicare risk score file.

# Analysis of the top 10 percent of hospitals using 1-day inpatient and outpatient observation stays

	<b>1-day inpatient stays</b>	<b>Outpatient observation stays</b>	<b>48+ hour outpatient observation stays</b>
<b>Numerator</b>	1-day inpatient stays	Outpatient observation stays	Outpatient observation stays lasting longer than 48 hours
<b>Denominator</b>	All inpatient and outpatient stays	All inpatient and outpatient stays	All outpatient observation stays
<b>Mean ratio for top 10%</b>	0.12	0.20	0.24
<b>Percent of payments from top 10% of hospitals</b>	26% of 1-day inpatient payments	19% of all outpatient observation payments	1% of long outpatient observation payments
<b>Top 10% hospital characteristics</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Urban</li> <li>• Teaching</li> <li>• For-profit</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rural with &lt; 50 beds</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• &lt; 100 beds and low volume</li> </ul>

# Policy issues

---

- Payment policy: Changes to reduce payment differences between short inpatient and similar outpatient hospital stays
- RACs: Changes to RAC auditing process and rebilling for short stays
- Beneficiary concerns related to observation:
  - SNF 3-day stay threshold
  - Self-administered drugs

# Issue: 1-day stay DRGs

---

- Goal: Reduce the payment difference between 1-day inpatient stays and similar outpatient stays
- With 1-day stay DRGs, inpatient payment rates decrease for 1-day stays and increase for 2+ day stays
- Payment changes budget neutral in aggregate
- MedPAC simulation of a 1-day stay DRG policy focused on subset of DRGs
  - Took 94 existing DRGs and split each into: a DRG for stays of at least 2 days and a DRG for 1-day stays only
  - Collapsed the 94 1-day stay DRGs into 44

# Effects of simulated 1-day stay DRG policy

---

- Hospitals with an above average prevalence of 1-day stays within these DRGs will see a revenue decrease; other hospitals will see a revenue increase or no change
- Impact on hospital revenues are modest across hospital categories and most hospitals individually
- Effect on incentives is mixed
  - Reduces but does not eliminate payment cliff between outpatient and 1-day inpatient stay
  - Creates new payment cliff between 1-day and 2-day inpatient stays

# Effect of simulated 1-day stay DRG policy for selected medical DRGs



Note: OP obs (outpatient observation), IP (inpatient). Chart includes results from a simulation of a 1-day stay DRG policy. Displayed in the chart is the weighted average payment rate for the 10 medical DRGs with the most 1-day inpatient stays that are also common to outpatient observation. Similar outpatient observation claims are identified by using a crosswalk process to link outpatient claims to MS-DRGs. Average payment includes add-on payments such as IME and DSH. Source: MedPAC analysis of Medicare claims and cost report data.



# Issue: Targeted RAC reviews of short stays

---

- RAC reviews of hospitals' short inpatient stays widespread
- Hospital appeals of audit determinations overwhelming appeals process
- Administrative burden on hospitals result from widespread audits and the appeals process
- Variation in hospitals' use of 1-day inpatient stays suggests opportunity may exist to target RAC audits: top 10 percent of hospitals accounted for 26 percent of payments for 1-day stays in 2012

# Issue: Targeted RAC reviews of short stays

---

- Policy option: Target reviews of inpatient appropriateness on hospitals with highest rate of short stay admissions (e.g., top 10 percent)
- Budgetary effect: Increase program spending
  - Targeted reviews likely to result in lower aggregate recoveries
  - Magnitude of aggregate recoveries under a targeted approach unclear

# Issue: Rebilling timeframe

---

- RACs review claims up to 3 years after discharge date
- Hospitals permitted to rebill denied inpatient claims as outpatient claims up to 1 year after discharge date
- RACs commonly deny claims after rebilling window
  - RACs audit oldest claims first to preserve claim audit eligibility
  - 75 percent of denials occurred after rebilling window (CMS)
- Policy option: Allow hospitals to rebill denied inpatient claims as outpatient claims within some period after the RAC notice of denial or shorten RAC look-back period for review of short hospital stays
- Budgetary effect: Increase program spending

# Issue: Performance-based RAC compensation

---

- RACs receive a contingency fee based on dollars they recover (ranging from 9% to 12.5% of recovery)
- Contingency fee incentivizes RACs to target high-dollar claims even if significant odds of overturn
- RACs must return fee if their recovery is overturned on appeal
- RACs face no penalty for high overturn rate
- Policy option: Modify RAC contingency fees to be based in-part on the RAC's overturn rate
- Budgetary effect: Increase program spending

# Issue: SNF 3-day stay policy and observation

---

- Intent of SNF 3-day policy was to define the SNF benefit as a post-acute care, not a long-term care, benefit
- Dynamics of the SNF 3-day policy
  - Requires a preceding 3-day inpatient hospital stay
  - Time in observation status not counted towards 3-day threshold
- Concerns about interaction between SNF 3-day policy and observation
  - 100,000 stays in 2012 were for 3 or more days, including observation and inpatient time, but the beneficiary did not qualify for the SNF benefit
  - 11,000 of those stays were discharged to a SNF without SNF coverage

# SNF 3-day stay policy option

---

- Policy option: Three components
  - Retain the 3-day threshold
  - Count time spent in outpatient observation status towards the threshold
  - Require at least 1 of the 3 days to be an inpatient day
- Budgetary effects: Increase program spending due to expanding eligibility for the SNF benefit

# Examples of policies that could be considered as offsets to RAC and SNF 3-day policy options

---

- Hospital-related offsets
  - Extend hospital post-acute care transfer policy to hospice transfers
  - IPPS base rate adjustment
- SNF-related offsets
  - Benefit redesign policy: Increase beneficiary liability
    - Part A deductible
    - SNF co-payments
  - SNF payment policy: Reduce SNF payments
    - Recover 2011 SNF overpayments
    - Explore a penalty for nursing facilities that inappropriately re-certify their long-term residents
    - Adjust the SNF base payment rate

# Issue: Self-administered drugs

---

- Medicare's hospital payment systems cover self-administered drugs (SADs) for inpatients but not generally for outpatients
- Hospitals bill outpatient beneficiaries for SADs at full charges
- Beneficiaries pay out-of-pocket and may be able to submit claim to Part D for limited payment
- SAD charges are common for observation patients
  - For claims with SAD charges, average SAD charges were \$209 and average SAD costs were \$43 (2012)



## Issue: Self-administered drugs (cont'd)

---

- Anecdotally, some hospitals reportedly do not charge beneficiaries for SADs
- Other hospitals indicate SAD charges are a source of patient dissatisfaction, but they believe they are required to charge for SADs due to laws prohibiting beneficiary inducements
- Policy option: Permit hospitals to waive charges for SADs for beneficiaries receiving outpatient observation

# Issues for discussion

---

- Feedback on policy options
  - 1-day stay DRGs
  - RAC changes
  - SNF 3-day policy and observation
  - Self-administered drugs
- Questions