

Medicare coverage for vaccines

Rachel Schmidt, Kim Neuman, and Shinobu Suzuki September 4, 2020

Overview

- Background
- Medicare's coverage of and spending for vaccines
- Vaccination rates of Medicare beneficiaries
- Review Commission's 2007 recommendation
- Consider three vaccine coverage options

Why government plays a large role in vaccination

- Vaccines are among the medical interventions with largest social returns
 - Preserve health and economic activity
 - Avoid medical costs and stress on health care delivery system
- Individuals at risk of contracting contagious disease have a stake in seeing that others are vaccinated
- Encourage manufacturer R&D and production of vaccines
- Government role through vaccination mandates (e.g., state requirements for routine childhood vaccinations), direct purchases and stockpiles, liability protection, R&D investment



SARS-CoV-2 vaccine development

- ~\$10 billion for vaccines and treatments in Coronavirus Aid,
 Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act of 2020
- Operation Warp Speed (OWS) goal of delivering up to 300 million doses of a safe and effective vaccine by early 2021
- OWS supporting several vaccine candidates, three of which are now in phase-3 clinical trials
- Federal funding for R&D and vaccine purchases before clinical trials completed to speed up large-scale manufacturing
- Some vaccine candidates may get emergency use authorization



Medicare's coverage of vaccines spans Part B and Part D

- Part B covers:
 - Seasonal influenza
 - Pneumococcal disease
 - Hepatitis B for patients at high or intermediate risk
 - CARES Act requires coverage of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines under Part B with no beneficiary cost sharing
- Part D covers all commercially available vaccines not covered by Part B such as for shingles or hepatitis A



In 2018, Medicare spent about \$2 billion on vaccines across Part B and Part D

	Spending		Number of doses	
	\$ (millions)	Percent	(millions)	Percent
Part B vaccines ¹				
Influenza	\$706	51%	17.3	79%
Pneumococcal pneumonia	627	46	4.3	19
Hepatitis B	<u>38</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>0.4</u>	<u>2</u>
Total	1,371	100	20.9	100
Part D vaccines ²				
Herpes zoster	\$450	89%	3.1	76 %
Tetanus / Diphtheria	30	6	0.7	17
Hepatitis A / B	17	3	0.2	6
Other	<u>8</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>0.1</u>	<u>2</u>
Total	505	100	4.0	100

Vaccine coverage and payments under Part B vs. Part D

Part B

- Generally no cost sharing
- Payment based on 95% AWP
- Administered in a wide variety of settings
 - Mass immunizers, e.g., pharmacies
 - Physician offices
 - Hospitals
 - Skilled nursing facilities and other settings

Part D

- Cost-sharing amounts vary by plan and benefit phase
- Payment based on plan bids
 - Plans negotiate payment with pharmacies
 - Plans may negotiate rebates with manufacturers
- Most are administered in pharmacies



Some goals for vaccination rates among Medicare beneficiaries have not been reached

Seasonal influenza

- Goal: 90% of adults age 65 and older
- 68% vaccinated in 2018-2019, similar to 67% in 2010-2011¹

Pneumococcal

- Goal: 90% of adults age 65 and older
- 59% vaccinated by 2017, up from 40% in 2010²

Shingles

- Goal: 30% of adults age 60 and older
- About one-third vaccinated by 2018, up from 7% in 2008^{3,4}



Racial and ethnic disparity in vaccine use among Medicare beneficiaries

Vaccination rate by race/ethnicity						
	FFS F	Part D				
	Influenza ¹	Pneumo- coccal ²	Shingles ³			
	2017-'18 flu season	(ever vaccinated by 9/2017)	(ever vaccinated by 12/2018)			
White	53%	61%	34%			
Black	34	45	18			
Hispanic	35	42	23			

- Racial/ethnic disparity in both
 Part B and Part D
- Lower vaccine use among
 Blacks and Hispanics even
 with limited or \$0 cost sharing
 - No cost sharing under Part B
 - Nominal copays for Part D's lowincome subsidy enrollees
 - Plans with low or \$0 copay for vaccines



Note: FFS (Fee-for-service) Data are preliminary and subject to change. ¹MedPAC and Acumen, LLC, analysis of Medicare claims data for beneficiaries of all ages. ²Black et. al 2018 analysis of Medicare claims data for those age 65 or older (https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/imz-managers/coverage/adultvaxview/pubs-resources/pcv13-medicare-beneficiaries.html). ³MedPAC analysis of Part D prescription drug event data for a cohort of beneficiaries age 60 or older who newly enrolled in Part D in 2010 or existing enrollees who turned 60 in 2010.

In 2007, the Commission recommended coverage of vaccines under Part B instead of Part D

At the time:

- No clear way for physicians to bill Part D plans
- Patients would pay for vaccine upfront and then seek reimbursement from plans, hurdle to seeking appropriate preventive care

Today:

- Some of the original rationales for the recommendation no longer apply
- Nevertheless there may still be reasons to support moving all vaccine coverage to Part B



Option 1: Cover all vaccines in Part B only, no cost sharing

Advantages:

- More Part B beneficiaries than enrollees in Part D
- No cost sharing for vaccines for contagious diseases
- Wide variety of settings available for administering vaccines
- Less confusing to beneficiaries and providers
- Disadvantages:
 - AWP-based payment places little or no constraints on pricing
 - Limited tools to encourage use of lower cost vaccines with similar health outcomes when available



Option 2: Cover new vaccines for highly contagious diseases in Part B with no cost sharing, all others in Part D

Advantages:

- Widest coverage for vaccines with largest social benefits
- Part D plans would cover all others
 - Could negotiate with manufacturers over price for formulary placement
 - Potentially larger rebates if there are competing vaccine products

Disadvantages:

- Few pricing constraints on new vaccines placed in Part B
- Continued variation in cost sharing for vaccines in Part D, which may deter some use
- Unclear how well Part D plans could or would constrain prices



Option 3: Keep current approach to vaccine coverage but eliminate vaccine cost sharing in Part D

Advantages:

- For Part D enrollees, would broaden access to Part D vaccines
- Manufacturers of new vaccines would expect that they would have to negotiate with Part D plans

Disadvantages:

- Eliminating cost sharing may not increase vaccine use much
- Some beneficiaries in Part B but not in Part D would not have access to new vaccines
- Would reduce bargaining leverage of Part D plans in their negotiations with manufacturers



Next steps

- Clarifications and questions
- Provide guidance with respect to a potential recommendation in the spring
- Materials will be included as a chapter in June 2021 report to the Congress

Summary of options

- Option 1: Reiterate 2007 recommendation to cover all vaccines in Part B only, no cost sharing
- Option 2: Cover new vaccines for highly contagious diseases in Part B with no cost sharing, all others in Part D
- Option 3: Keep the current approach to vaccine coverage but eliminate vaccine cost sharing under Part D