

Improving Medicare's end-stage renal disease prospective payment system

Nancy Ray and Andy Johnson

April 2, 2020

Presentation overview

- Overview of how Medicare pays for new dialysis drugs
- Policy option: Eliminate the transitional drug add-on payment adjustment (TDAPA) for new drugs in an existing ESRD functional category
- Overview of how Medicare pays dialysis facilities that are low-volume and located in rural areas
- Policy option: Replace the low-volume and rural payment adjustments with a single payment adjustment that targets low-volume and isolated facilities
- Draft recommendations

TDAPA depends on whether new ESRD drug is in one of eleven existing functional categories

New ESRD-related drugs that:	Are <i>not</i> in an existing functional category	Are in an existing functional category
Initial policy year	2016	2020
How is payment set?	ASP	ASP
Length of add-on payment period	At least 2 years	2 calendar years
Is the ESRD PPS base rate updated at end of add-on payment period?	Yes	No

Issues with the TDAPA policy for new drugs in an existing ESRD functional category

- Paying separately for drugs in a functional category temporarily unbundles the ESRD bundle
 - Inhibits competition among drugs in the same functional category
 - Fails to provide an incentive to reduce new drug launch prices
- TDAPA payment is duplicative of bundled payment
 - TDAPA covers full cost of the new drug in addition to the payment for the functional category already included in the base rate
 - Paying TDAPA on a per unit basis in addition to the bundle increases the incentive to provide TDAPA-covered drugs and may promote their overuse

Policy: Eliminate the TDAPA for new drugs in an existing ESRD functional category

- At market entry, new ESRD drugs in an existing functional category would be included in the payment bundle
- No concurrent update to the base payment rate
- Monitor payment adequacy of Medicare's ESRD payments to identify need for rebasing
- Maintain the TDAPA for:
 - New drugs that do not fit into an ESRD functional category
 - Calcimimetics

Draft recommendation 1

- The Congress should direct the Secretary to eliminate the end-stage renal disease (ESRD) prospective payment system's transitional drug add-on payment adjustment for new drugs in an existing ESRD functional category.

Draft recommendation 1: Implications

- Spending: Estimated to decrease program spending by \$250M to \$750M over 1 year and by \$1B to \$5B over 5 years relative to current policy
- Beneficiaries and providers:
 - Would generate savings for beneficiaries through lower cost sharing
 - Not expected to affect beneficiaries' access to needed medicines
 - Would reduce future payments to dialysis facilities
 - Continued provider willingness and ability to care for beneficiaries

Current low-volume payment adjustment (LVPA) does not target isolated and low-volume facilities

- **Current LVPA:**
 - Increases base rate of eligible facilities by 23.9 percent
 - Eligible facilities furnish fewer than 4,000 treatments in each of the 3 years prior to the payment year in question
 - Distance to nearest facility only considered for facilities under common ownership if within 5 miles of each other
- **Concerns with design of LVPA:**
 - Single threshold may encourage limiting treatment or inaccurate reporting
 - Does not address higher costs at facilities with 4,000 to 6,000 treatments
 - Does not target isolated facilities; 40 percent within 5 miles of another facility

Rural adjustment does not target low-volume and isolated facilities

- In 2017, 18 percent of facilities received a 0.8 percent increase to their base rate for being located in a rural area
- Concerns with rural adjustment
 - About 30 percent of rural facilities were located within 5 miles of the nearest facility
 - About 50 percent of rural facilities were higher-volume, furnishing more than 6,000 treatments

Policy: Replace the current low volume and rural payment adjustments with a single adjustment

- The low-volume and isolated (LVI) payment adjustment would target facilities that are both low-volume and isolated
- To model the LVI adjustment:
 - Facility must be isolated
 - Farther than 5 miles from nearest facility (regardless of ownership)
 - Facility must exhibit low volume over three preceding years
 - Provide up to 6,000 treatments per year

Draft recommendation 2

- The Secretary should replace the current low-volume and rural payment adjustments in the end-stage renal disease prospective payment system with a single adjustment for dialysis facilities that are isolated and consistently have low volume, where low volume criteria are empirically-derived.

Draft recommendation 2: Implications

- Spending: Estimated to be budget neutral with current policy.
- Beneficiaries and providers: Enhances beneficiaries' access to care at low-volume, isolated facilities. Not expected to affect providers' willingness or ability to serve beneficiaries.
 - Payments would increase or remain the same for low-volume, isolated providers that are necessary for maintaining access to dialysis treatment.
 - Payments would decrease for low-volume providers and rural providers that are in close proximity to another provider and for high-volume, rural providers.

Draft recommendations

- The Congress should direct the Secretary to eliminate the end-stage renal disease (ESRD) prospective payment system's transitional drug add-on payment adjustment for new drugs in an existing ESRD functional category.
- The Secretary should replace the current low-volume and rural payment adjustments in the end-stage renal disease prospective payment system with a single adjustment for dialysis facilities that are isolated and consistently have low volume, where low volume criteria are empirically-derived.
- Analyses will be included in a June 2020 chapter on ESRD PPS design issues