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Recap of Commission’s discussions on a per-beneficiary payment for primary care

- Primary care bonus payment expires end of 2015
- November meeting – initial discussion on replacing it with a per-beneficiary payment
- March meeting – longer discussion on per-beneficiary payment including design issues and funding
- June report – preparing a chapter on per-beneficiary payment for primary care
Today’s Agenda

- Review outline of June report chapter
  - Comments or clarifications
  - Additional issues to include
- No recommendations in June
- For the fall, well-positioned to consider recommendations on a per-beneficiary payment for primary care
Outline of June report chapter on a per-beneficiary payment for primary care

- Per-beneficiary payment for primary care to replace expiring primary care bonus
- Design issues
  - Payment amount
  - Attributing a beneficiary to a practitioner
  - Practice requirements
- Funding sources
Design issue: payment amount

Consider primary care bonus in 2012

- 10 percent bonus to primary care practitioners
- Bonus payments totaled $664 million
- 200,000 practitioners eligible (20 percent)
- Bonus payment per practitioner
  - $3,400 on average
  - $9,300 average for top quartile of distribution
Design issue: payment amount

- Convert primary care bonus to a per-beneficiary payment for primary care
  - $664 million
  - 21.3 million beneficiaries
  - $31.17 per beneficiary
  - $2.60 per beneficiary per month
- Payment amount could be higher and could rise over time
- Beneficiary would not pay cost sharing
Design issue: Attributing a beneficiary to a practitioner

- Beneficiary designates practitioner
- CMS attributes beneficiaries to practitioners based on who furnished majority of primary care services
  - Prospectively
  - Retrospectively
Design issue: Attributing a beneficiary to a practitioner

- Beneficiary designates practitioner
  - Encourage beneficiary-practitioner dialogue
  - But beneficiary could designate one practitioner as primary care practitioner, and receive care from another practitioner throughout the year, also
- Beneficiary may feel pressured to sign designation forms
Design issue: Attributing a beneficiary to a practitioner

- CMS *prospectively* attributes beneficiary to practitioner
  - Attribution at beginning of year
  - Based on primary care services in previous year
  - Practitioner paid throughout year, facilitating front-end investment in infrastructure
  - But, practitioners could be paid for beneficiaries no longer under their care
Design issue: Attributing a beneficiary to a practitioner

- CMS *retrospectively* attributes beneficiary to practitioner
  - Attribution at end of year
  - Based on primary care services in actual performance year
  - Practitioner only paid for beneficiaries under his/her care
  - But, payment likely made after year’s end
Design issue: practice requirements

- Types of requirements
  - Improving access
  - Adopting a team-based approach to care
  - Staffing mix
- Add to cost and may not add value
- Experience with medical homes to-date
- Achieving compliance: attestation by practice or verification by 3rd party
Funding source: Background

Requirements for primary care bonus:

- **Eligible primary care services**
  - Subset of evaluation and management services
  - Office visits, nursing facility visits; excludes visits to inpatients

- **Eligible primary care practitioners**
  - Certain specialties (e.g., family practice, nurse practitioner)
  - At least 60 percent of allowed charges from eligible primary care services
Funding source: for monthly, per-beneficiary payment of $2.60

1.1 percent reduction in payment for 90 percent of fee schedule

1.4 percent reduction in payment for 75 percent of fee schedule

Note: E&M (evaluation and management services), PCPs (eligible primary care practitioners).
Funding source: Reducing payments for overpriced services

- Series of Commission recommendations
  - Identify & reduce payments of overpriced services
  - Achieve reductions of at least 1.0 percent of fee schedule spending each year for 5 years
- Could fund monthly, per-beneficiary payments rising annually over 5 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2.60</td>
<td>$5.20</td>
<td>$7.80</td>
<td>$10.40</td>
<td>$13.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding source: Reducing payments for overpriced services (cont.)

- PPACA requires validation of fee schedule’s RVUs
  - Commission has recommended collection of validation data from efficient practices
  - CMS beginning to develop methods, working with contractors

- In the interim, current potentially misvalued services initiative is a source of savings
Further savings possible under potentially misvalued services initiative

Services by review status
as percent of allowed charges

Services reviewed, 40%
Services eligible for primary care bonus, 26%
Services not reviewed, 34%

Note: Percentages are each category's share of total fee-schedule allowed charges. Services reviewed are those listed in fee-schedule final rules for 2009 to 2014 as new, revised, or potentially misvalued.

Source: CMS final rules and utilization file for 2014 impacts.
Revisiting services already reviewed

- Results, work RVUs
  - Decreased: 485 services
  - Increased or maintained: 551 services

- RUC reduced time estimates, but did not reduce work RVUs by same proportion
  - Time estimates reduced by 18 percent
  - Work RVUs reduced by 7 percent
Funding source: Target savings from overpriced services

- Absent change in current policy, savings redistributed equally across fee schedule
  - Under-priced, accurately-priced, and overpriced services all receive same percentage increase
- Under improved approach, savings redistributed to per-beneficiary payment
  - Would do more to rebalance fee schedule
Outline of June report chapter on a per-beneficiary payment for primary care

- Per-beneficiary payment for primary care to replace expiring primary care bonus
- Design issues
  - Payment amount
  - Attributing a beneficiary to a practitioner
  - Practice requirements
- Funding sources
Issues in chapter for discussion

- Per-beneficiary payment
  - Amount
  - Source of funding

- Beneficiary attribution
  - Beneficiary designates practitioner
  - CMS attributes beneficiaries to practitioners
    - Prospectively
    - Retrospectively

- Practice requirements
  - Payment contingent on requirements?
  - If so, discuss specific requirements in chapter?