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Mandated report on Medicare payment for ambulance services

- MedPAC directed to study:
  - Appropriateness of temporary ambulance add-on payments
  - Effect of add-on payments on providers’ Medicare margins
  - Need to reform ambulance fee schedule, whether add-ons should be built into base rate

- Critical dates:
  - Report due June 15, 2013
  - Add-on payment policies in effect through December 31, 2012
Presentation outline

- Coverage and payment basics
- Updated trends in numbers of providers/suppliers, claims volume, and spending
- Issues resulting from analysis
- Provider costs and Medicare margins
- Program integrity issues
- Policy options
Ambulance coverage policy

- Medicare Part B covered service
  - Medicare pays 80 percent, 20 percent beneficiary coinsurance

- Ambulance services covered if:
  - Transportation of the beneficiary occurs
  - Transportation to a covered location
  - Medical necessity: Other forms of transport contraindicated
  - Provider/supplier meets state licensing requirements
  - Transportation is not part of a Part A covered stay
Exceptions in law allow Part B payment for ambulance service during certain Part A-covered stays

- Example: SNF resident with ESRD to/from dialysis

Nonemergency transports require written physician certification of medical necessity, unless trip originates at beneficiary residence and are non-recurring
Ambulance fee schedule: Components

- **Base payment**
  - Relative value units (RVUs)
    - Ground: 7 levels based on service intensity (Air: 1 level)
  - Conversion factor (CF)
    - Ground: $214 / Air—Rotary wing: $3,384 / Air—Fixed wing: $2,911
    - Updated annually by Ambulance Inflation Factor (CPI-U)
  - Geographic adjustment factor (GAF)
    - Uses practice expense GPCI
    - Applied to labor share of rate (ground: 70 percent, air: 50 percent)
    - Tied to ZIP code of patient point of pick-up

- **Mileage payment**
  - Miles travelled from patient point of pick-up to destination
  - Uniform national mileage rates for ground and air (fixed and rotary wing)

Note: All dollar amounts shown are for 2012.
Example: Ground ALS Level 1-Emergency in Raleigh, NC excluding add-on payments

Base payment

$$((1.9 \times \$214) \times 0.7 \times 0.927) + (1.9 \times \$214) \times 0.3$$

$$= 386$$

GAF

Mileage payment

$$5 \text{ miles} \times \$6.89 \text{ per mile}$$

$$= 34$$

Total payment

$$= 420$$

Note: ALS (advanced life support), GAF (geographic adjustment factor), GPCI (geographic practice cost index).
Add-on payment policies in current law

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Add-on policy</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Policy description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ground</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural short-mileage</td>
<td>Permanent</td>
<td>50 percent increase to mileage rate if mileage is between 1 and 17 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural and urban</td>
<td>Temporary*</td>
<td>Rural: 3 percent increase to base rate payment and mileage rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Urban: 2 percent increase to base rate payment and mileage rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super-rural</td>
<td>Temporary*</td>
<td>22.6 percent increase to base rate payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>Permanent</td>
<td>50 percent increase to air ambulance base rate payment and mileage rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandfathered urban areas deemed rural</td>
<td>Temporary*</td>
<td>Maintains rural designation for application of rural air ambulance add-on for areas reclassified as urban by OMB in 2006 (affects over 3,400 ZIP codes)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In effect through December 31, 2012
### Add-on policies account for 7 percent of ambulance payments, 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Add-on policy</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Number of claims receiving add-on payment</th>
<th>Spending (millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ground</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural short-mileage</td>
<td>Permanent</td>
<td>2,195,986</td>
<td>$42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural and urban</td>
<td>Temporary*</td>
<td>15,158,353</td>
<td>$134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super-rural</td>
<td>Temporary*</td>
<td>547,830</td>
<td>$41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>Permanent</td>
<td>58,532</td>
<td>$126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandfathered urban areas deemed rural</td>
<td>Temporary*</td>
<td>8,295</td>
<td>$17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15,220,790</td>
<td>$359</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In effect through December 31, 2012.

Data are preliminary and subject to change
Number of suppliers increased and providers decreased from 2008 to 2011

- Overall suppliers and providers billing Medicare increased 0.8 percent per year
- Providers decreased 4.6 percent per year
- Suppliers increased 1.3 percent per year
  - For-profits increased more than twice as fast as non-profits between 2008 and 2010
- Private equity entered the industry in 2011

Data are preliminary and subject to change
Trends in ambulance payments and utilization

- $5.3 billion in payments for 15.2 million claims in 2011
- Payments per FFS beneficiary increased 5.2 percent per year from 2007 to 2011
  - 2.6 percent growth in claims per 100 FFS beneficiaries
  - 2.5 percent growth in payments per claim
- 15 percent of FFS beneficiaries had an ambulance transport in 2011
- Ambulance users had an average of 3 transports per year in 2011

Data are preliminary and subject to change
Medicare utilization growth does not indicate ambulance access problems

Source: Medicare Carrier and Outpatient claims files

Data are preliminary and subject to change
Growth in ambulance transports from 2007 to 2011

- Ambulance transport volume increased 9.9 percent
- Basic life support (BLS) transports grew faster (10.9 percent) than advanced life support (ALS) transports (8.1 percent)
- BLS nonemergency transports grew faster (11.4 percent) than BLS emergency (9.6 percent)
- BLS nonemergency grew faster in urban areas (12.5 percent) than in rural areas (7.2 percent)
- ALS emergency grew faster in rural areas (11.7 percent) than in urban areas (9.4 percent)

Data are preliminary and subject to change
BLS nonemergency transports are concentrated among certain suppliers

- 16 percent of suppliers and providers focused on BLS nonemergency in 2011
  - Over 90 percent of their transports were BLS nonemergency
  - They accounted for 27 percent of all BLS nonemergency transports

- 1,500 new suppliers entered from 2008 to 2011, many of which focused on BLS nonemergency
  - New suppliers: 65 percent of transports were BLS nonemergency
  - Established suppliers: 41 percent of transports were BLS nonemergency

Data are preliminary and subject to change
Dialysis transports growing rapidly

- **Dialysis transports**
  - 15 percent of all transports (2.3 million claims)
  - 13 percent of spending ($700 million)
  - Nearly all are BLS nonemergency
  - 20 percent increase in trips between dialysis facilities and any other location (2007 to 2011)
  - 50 percent increase in trips between dialysis facilities and SNFs (2007 to 2011)

- Small group of ambulance suppliers and providers concentrate on dialysis transports

Data are preliminary and subject to change
Ambulance spending per dialysis beneficiary varies greatly by state, 2009

Source: United States Renal Data Systems, 2009, *Average ambulance spending by state per beneficiary hemodialysis year*

Data are preliminary and subject to change
Ambulance cost analyses to date

- CMS does not collect supplier cost data
  - Transport volume is the key factor affecting costs
  - Low population areas had fewer transports
- 2007 GAO report: Used sample of 2004 costs
  - Costs increased if low-volume, more ALS transports, super-rural transports, receiving local tax support
  - Average Medicare margin estimated at –6%, excluding temporary add-on payments
- MedPAC’s closer look at GAO’s 2007 report
  - Low-volume threshold likely near 700 transports per year
- GAO’s forthcoming report will assess 2010 cost data
HHS OIG finds evidence of fraud & abuse

- 1994 study: 70 percent of dialysis-related transports did not meet coverage requirement
- 1998 study: Two-thirds of all ambulance transports were not medically necessary because alternative transportation was possible
- 2006 study: $402 million in improper payments in 2002 stemming from 25 percent of transports (mainly nonemergency and dialysis transports) not meeting program requirements
- Several specific cases of fraud involving dialysis transports or up-coding
Possible options for temporary ground ambulance add-on policy

- Cost: $134 million in 2011
- Affects all ground ambulance transports
- Use of services increasing, no evidence of access problems
- Margins
  - 2007 GAO study found average margin of –6% without temporary add-ons, but wide confidence interval
  - 2012 GAO study may provide new evidence
- Options: Let it expire (current law) or fold into base

Data are preliminary and subject to change
Possible options for temporary super-rural add-on payment policy

- Cost: $41 million in 2011
- Affects over 500,000 transports originating in super rural ZIP codes
- Does not efficiently target low-volume, isolated providers
- Options:
  - Let it expire (current law)
  - Combine with existing permanent rural short-mileage add-on policy—replace both with a better targeted low-volume/isolated area payment policy

Data are preliminary and subject to change
Possible options for temporary air ambulance add-on payment policy

- Cost: $17 million in 2011
- Affects about 8,000 air transports originating in urban counties
- Provides 50 percent add-on payment for urban ZIP codes previously designated rural
- Was justified as transitional policy
- Has been in place for four years
- Options: Let it expire (current law) or retain

Data are preliminary and subject to change
Policy options for dialysis transports

- **Issue:** Nonemergency dialysis-related transports
  - Growing rapidly
  - Highly variable by state
  - Rapid entry of for-profit suppliers focused on this service

- **Option:** Direct the Secretary to review unusual patterns of use and implement safeguards
  - Has authority to restrict new entry and re-enroll providers
  - Could enhance physician certification or conduct medical necessity reviews
  - May need statutory authority for prior authorization

- **Should Medicare pay for nonemergency ambulance transportation to/from dialysis?**
Policy option for rebalancing RVUs

- **Issue**: Growth in BLS nonemergency transports
  - Growing rapidly
  - Suppliers focused on these transports

- **Option**: CMS could identify overvalued services
  - Eventually, gather cost data then rebase
  - In interim, reduce RVU for BLS nonemergency transports by set percentage
Discussion

- Questions on content
- Reaction to policy options?
  - Temporary ground ambulance
  - Temporary super-rural
  - Temporary air ambulance
  - Dialysis transports
  - Rebalancing RVUs